

This appendix contains the Noise Compatibility Program Checklist for CRQ. The checklist indicates items required for this study and references for each item.

<b>FAR PART 150</b> <b>NOISE COMPATIBILITY PROGRAM CHECKLIST</b> <b>AIRPORT NAME: <u>McClellan-Palomar Airport</u>      REVIEWER: _____</b>		
Item	Yes/No/NA	Page Number/Other Reference
<b>I. Identification and Submission Program:</b>		
A. Submission is properly identified:		
1. FAR 150 NCP?	Yes	Cover
2. NEM and NCP together?	No	<a href="#">Section 10.2</a>
3. Program revision?	Yes	<a href="#">Section 10.2</a>
B. Airport and Airport Operator's name identified?	Yes	<a href="#">Sections 10.2</a> and <a href="#">10.3.3</a>
C. NCP transmitted by airport operator cover letter?	N/A	Transmittal Letter will be provided.
<b>II. Consultation: (150.23):</b>		
A. Documentation includes narrative public participation and consultation process?	Yes	<a href="#">Section 15</a> and <a href="#">Appendices M, N, and O</a>
B. Identification of consulted parties:		
1. Are parties in 150.23(c) consulted?	Yes	<a href="#">Section 15.1</a>
2. Public and planning agencies identified?	Yes	<a href="#">Section 15.1</a> and <a href="#">Appendix M</a>
3. Agencies in 2, above, correspond to those indicated on the NEM?	Yes	<a href="#">Section 15.1</a>
C. Satisfied 150.23(d) requirements:		
1. Documentation shows active and direct participation of parties in B, above?	Yes	<a href="#">Sections 15.2</a> and <a href="#">15.3</a> <a href="#">Appendices M, N, and O</a>
2. Active and direct participation of general public?	Yes	<a href="#">Sections 15.2</a> and <a href="#">15.3</a> <a href="#">Appendices M, N, and O</a>
3. Participation was prior to and during development of NCP and prior to submittal to FAA?	Yes	<a href="#">Sections 15.2</a> and <a href="#">15.3</a> <a href="#">Appendices M, N, and O</a>

<b>FAR PART 150</b> <b>NOISE COMPATIBILITY PROGRAM CHECKLIST</b> <b>AIRPORT NAME: <u>McClellan-Palomar Airport</u>      REVIEWER: _____</b>		
Item	Yes/No/NA	Page Number/Other Reference
4. Indicates adequate opportunity afforded to submit views, data, etc.?	Yes	<a href="#">Sections 15.2 and 15.3</a> <a href="#">Appendices M, N, and O</a>
D. Evidence included of notice and opportunity for public hearing on NCP?	To Be Provided	Public Hearing is scheduled for January 10, 2006. Documentation of Public Hearing will be included in NCP Version 5.
E. Documentation of comments:		
1. Includes summary of public hearing comments, if hearing was held?	To Be Provided	Public Hearing is scheduled for January 10, 2006. Documentation of Public Hearing will be included in NCP Version 5.
2. Includes copy of all written material submitted to operator?	Yes	<a href="#">Appendices M, N, and O</a>
3. Includes operator's responses/disposition of written and verbal comments?	Yes	<a href="#">Appendices M, N, and O</a>
F. Informal agreement received from FAA on flight procedures?	No	<a href="#">Appendix M.</a>
<b>III. Noise Exposure Maps: (150.23, b150.3, b150.35[f])</b> (This section of the checklist is not a substitute for the Noise Exposure Map checklist. It deals with maps in the context of the Noise Compatibility Program submission.)		
A. Inclusion of NEMs and supporting documentation:		
1. Map documentation either included or incorporated by reference?	Yes	<a href="#">Section 10.2</a>
2. Maps previously found in compliance by FAA?	Yes	<a href="#">Section 10.2</a>
3. Compliance determination still valid?	Yes	

<b>FAR PART 150</b> <b>NOISE COMPATIBILITY PROGRAM CHECKLIST</b> <b>AIRPORT NAME: <u>McClellan-Palomar Airport</u>      REVIEWER: _____</b>		
Item	Yes/No/NA	Page Number/Other Reference
4. Does 180-day period have to wait for map compliance finding?	No	
B. Revised NEMs submitted with program: (Revised using NEM checklist if map revisions included in NCP submittal.)		
1. Revised NEMs included with program?	Yes	<a href="#">Figure 11-14</a> illustrates 2009 NEM with Program Implementation.
2. Has airport operator requested FAA to make a determination on the NEM(s) when NCP approval is made?	Yes	The airport operator's cover letter will include a request for FAA to make a new map compliance finding upon approval of the NCP.
C. If program analysis uses noise modeling:		
1. INM or HNM, or FAA approved equivalent?	Yes	<a href="#">Sections 11.3.1</a> and <a href="#">11.3.10</a>
2. Monitoring in accordance with A150.5?	N/A	No noise monitoring was performed.
D. Existing condition and 5-year maps clearly identified as official NEMs.	Yes	<a href="#">Figure 11-14</a> illustrates revised 5-year NEM with program implementation.
<b>IV. Consideration of Alternatives: (B150.7, 150.23[e])</b>		
A. At a minimum, are the alternatives below considered?		
1. Land acquisition and interest therein, including air rights, easements, and development rights?	Yes	<a href="#">Sections 12.2.3</a> and <a href="#">12.2.4</a>
2. Barriers, acoustical shielding, and public building soundproofing?	Yes	<a href="#">Section 12.2.3</a>
3. Preferential runway system?	Yes	<a href="#">Section 11.2.8</a>
4. Flight procedures?	Yes	<a href="#">Section 11</a>

<b>FAR PART 150</b> <b>NOISE COMPATIBILITY PROGRAM CHECKLIST</b> <b>AIRPORT NAME: <u>McClellan-Palomar Airport</u>      REVIEWER: _____</b>		
Item	Yes/No/NA	Page Number/Other Reference
5. Restrictions on type/class of aircraft (as least one restriction below must be checked):		
a. deny use based on Federal standards?	Yes	<a href="#">Section 11.2.14</a>
b. capacity limits based on noisiness?	Yes	<a href="#">Section 11.3.9</a>
c. noise abatement takeoff/approach?	Yes	<a href="#">Section 11.2.4</a>
d. landing fees based on noise or time of day?	Yes	<a href="#">Section 11.3.9</a>
e. nighttime restrictions?	Yes	<a href="#">Section 11.3.10</a>
6. Other actions with beneficial impact?	Yes	<a href="#">Sections 11, 12, and 13</a>
7. Other FAA recommendations?	No	
B. Responsible implementing authority identified for each considered alternative?	Yes	<a href="#">Table 14-1</a>
C. Analysis of measures:		
1. Measure clearly described?	Yes	<a href="#">Sections 11, 12, 13</a>
2. Measures adequately analyzed?	Yes	<a href="#">Sections 11, 12, 13</a>
3. Adequate reasoning for rejecting alternatives?	Yes	<a href="#">Sections 11, 12, 13</a>
D. Other actions recommended by the FAA:		
1. Should other actions be added?	No	
<b>V. Alternatives Recommended for Implementation: (150.23[e], B150.7[c], B150.35[b], B150.5)</b>		
A. Document clearly indicates:		
1. Alternatives recommended for implementation?	Yes	<a href="#">Sections 11.5, 12.4, 13.5, and 14</a>
2. Final recommendations are airport operator's not those of consultant or third party?	Yes	<a href="#">Section 14.7</a>

<b>FAR PART 150</b> <b>NOISE COMPATIBILITY PROGRAM CHECKLIST</b> <b>AIRPORT NAME: <u>McClellan-Palomar Airport</u>      REVIEWER: _____</b>		
Item	Yes/No/NA	Page Number/Other Reference
B. Do all program recommendations:		
1. Relate directly or indirectly to reduction of noise and noncompatible land uses?	Yes	Sections 11, 12, 13
2. Contain description of contribution to overall effectiveness of program?	Yes	Sections 11, 12, 13
3. Noise/land use benefits quantified to extent possible?	Yes	Tables 11-3/-5/-6/-8
4. Include actual/anticipated effect on reducing noise exposure within noncompatible area shown on NEM?	Yes	Tables 11-3/-5/-6/-8
5. Efforts based on relevant and reasonable expressed assumptions?	Yes	Sections 11, 12, 13
6. Have adequate supporting data to support its contribution to noise/land use compatibility?	Yes	Sections 11, 12, 13
C. Analysis appears to support standards set forth in 150.35[b] and B150.5?	Yes	Sections 11, 12, 13
D. When use restrictions are recommended:		
1. Are alternatives with potentially significant noise/compatible land use benefits thoroughly analyzed so that appropriate comparisons and conclusions can be made?	Yes	Section 11.3.10
2. Use restrictions coordinated with APP-600 prior to making determination on start of 180 days?	No	
E. Do the following also meet Part 150 analytical standards:		
1. Formal recommendations which continue existing practices?	Yes	Sections 11.2, 12.2, and 13.2

<b>FAR PART 150</b> <b>NOISE COMPATIBILITY PROGRAM CHECKLIST</b> <b>AIRPORT NAME: <u>McClellan-Palomar Airport</u>      REVIEWER: _____</b>		
Item	Yes/No/NA	Page Number/Other Reference
2. New recommendations or changes proposed at the end of Part 150 process?	Yes	<a href="#">Sections 11.3, 11.4, 12.3, 13.3, and 13.4</a>
F. Documentation indicates how recommendations may change previously adopted plans?	Yes	<a href="#">Sections 11.2, 12.2, and 13.2</a>
G. Documentation also:		
1. Identifies agencies which are responsible for implementing each recommendation?	Yes	<a href="#">Table 14-1</a>
2. Indicates whether those agencies have agreed to implement?	No	
3. Indicates essential government actions necessary to implement recommendations?	Yes	<a href="#">Table 14-1</a>
H. Timeframe:		
1. Includes agreed-upon schedule to implement alternatives?	No	
2. Indicates period covered by the program?	No	
I. Funding/Costs:		
1. Includes cost to implement alternatives?	Yes	<a href="#">Table 14-1</a>
2. Includes anticipated funding source?	Yes	<a href="#">Section 14</a>
<b>VI. Program Revision (150.23[e] and [g])</b>		
Supporting documentation includes provision for revision?	Yes	<a href="#">Section 14.6</a>